In the Matter Of: PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 & 703 OF 6NYCRR **HEARING** March 09, 2015 800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com

HEARING March 09, 2015 PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 & 703 OF 6NYCRR 1

1	NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
2	
3	LEGISLATIVE COMMENT HEARING ON THE PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 AND 703 OF 6NYCRR
4	10 AMEND PARIS 701 AND 703 OF WHICRR
5	
6	
7	HEARING held at the offices of the ENVIRONMENTAL
8	PROTECTION AGENCY, 290 Broadway, 23rd Floor, New York,
9	New York, on Monday, March 9, 2015, at 12:00 p.m.,
10	before Jeffrey Shapiro, a Shorthand Reporter and notary
11	public, within and for the State of New York.
12	
13	
14	B E F O R E: MOLLY T. MCBRIDE, Administrative Law Judge
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2.4	
25	



```
1
     APPEARANCES:
2
     Scott J. Stoner, MS
     Chief Standards and Analytical Support Section, DEC
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



2.3

PROCEEDINGS

MS. McBRIDE: Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Molly McBride, I'm an administrative law judge with the New York City Department of Environmental Conservation, and presiding over this afternoon's legislative comment session.

The purpose of this session is to receive comments from the public regarding the proposed rulemaking to amend Parts 701 and 703 of Title 6 of the official Compilation of Codes, Rules and Regulations of the State of New York.

The purpose of this rulemaking is to change the right to require the quality of Class 1 and Class SD bathing surface waters to be suitable for primary contact recreation such as swimming; the rulemaking is necessary to meet the swimmable goal of the Federal Clean Water Act.

Notice of this hearing was published in various newspapers that are in the New York City area, serving this area, as well as the electronic publication, the Environmental Notice Bulletin, and in the State Register.

As I said, the purpose of this session is to receive comments. This is not a question and answer



2.3

session. If you do have any questions for DEC staff you may raise them outside this hearing here today.

In order to make a comment on the record we will need you to fill out a speaker card. Cards are available at the table outside of the room. If you would like to make a comment, please make sure you fill out that speaker card for us.

Public comments will be accepted until March 16. So comments must be received by the Department by March 16, 2015 at 5:00 p.m. Out in the hallway at the table there we have both the e-mail address and the mailing address for where you will send those comments if you need it. You may also submit a written comment this afternoon and leave it with our staff.

Before we see if anyone here would like to make a comment, I want to introduce Scott Stoner from our Division of Water for a few comments.

MR. STONER: Thank you, Judge.

Good afternoon everyone. I'm Scott Stoner,
Chief of the Standards and Analytical Support Section in
the Division of Water in the New York State Department
of Environmental Conservation.

I have a short explanatory statement about the proposed rulemaking that is the subject of today's



public hearing.

York State are grouped into classes with uses designated for each class, along with standards to protect their uses. There are five classes of saline waters defined in Title 6 of the New York Codes, Rules and Regulations, abbreviated 6 NYCRR, Part 701. These classes are SA, SB, SC, Roman Numeral I and SD.

The federal Clean Water Act requires that, where attainable, the quality of all waters "provides for recreation in and on the water." This is commonly referred to as the "swimmable" goal of the Clean Water Act and was to have been achieved by 1983.

Of the five classes of saline waters in New York State, only three of the classes SA, SB, and SC, are designated for primary contact recreation, which includes swimming. Class 1 and Class SD waters are not currently designated for primary contact recreation, and thus do not meet the swimmable goal of the federal Clean Water Act. The majority of Class 1 and Class SD waters are located in New York City, with a few waters located in Suffolk County.

The purpose of this rule is to ensure that the Class 1 and Class SD waters meet the swimmable goal



of the federal Clean Water Act.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

The proposed rule would accomplish this by requiring, in 6 NYCRRR Part 701, that the water quality for Class 1 and Class SD waters be suitable for primary contact recreation, and in 6 NYCRR Part 703, by adopting corresponding standards for total and fecal coliforms to protect the quality of Class 1 and Class SD waters for this use.

Thank you.

MS. McBRIDE: Thank you, Mr. Stoner.

At this time we have not yet received any speaker cards. What I will do is keep the record open for a few more minutes in case anyone is delayed getting here, and then we'll close the record after that.

We have a speaker card for Rob Buchanan.

You may come forward and make your comment here.

MR. BUCHANAN: My name is Rob Buchanan. I'm co-chair of the New York-New Jersey Harbor Program Citizens Advisory Committee. I'm not here to offer testimony from that group. We have many comments and we will be presenting comments. A colleague of mine of that group will be presenting comments later if she can

I'm here representing another group of the



get in the door.

New York City Water Trail Association, and I have
written comments which I will present to you by e-mail,
the easiest way.

But I wanted to make three points in that group's testimony.

And the first point is that, if you're going to call something swimmable, that changes the game for a lot of people. Our group does a survey of harbor boaters every year. The last year we did it, in 2013, we counted more than 60,000 individuals who were put on the water by organized community boating groups. That doesn't count individuals who launch themselves.

This is a really big number. Every year that we've done this survey that number has grown. We've got waterfront development and access points expanding. That number is going to get big. If you call water swimmable people are going to expect it to be swimmable, and they'll want to know.

So, the first point is, how are you measuring that? I don't think the harbor survey, which is a great thing, and I don't mean to discredit the DEP in any way, but it's not an accurate reflection of harbor water quality recreation users.

I think you're going to have to expand your



2 data points. As a model I brought this.

(Indicating poster board.)

This is a citizens program that we run called Citizens Water. We do quality tests, and if you look, we test at launch sites, a lot of them, more than 30. We test weekly, that's what the DEP does too. Their test sites in the East River, if you look at those, they've only got two in the middle stretch of the East River. They've got 23rd Street and they test at Hell Gate, and that's it. Two points, mid current, mid channel, the results are different.

So, if you are really going to look at water, look at where people are going to use the water, because those numbers are going to be a lot tougher, and I think you have to include them. So that's my first point.

The second point is, monitoring and notification, it's almost impossible for the public to understand the public agencies' monitoring efforts, and their notificiation efforts are also difficult, they are not really user friendly. If we go to swimmable water designation, high expectations, that has to be reflected in better monitoring and more interpretable results. If you look at the DEP's result pages on their website,



they are great, the data is great, but a member of the public can't make heads or tails of it, they really cannot.

I want to show you one more -- an example, I mentioned East 23rd Street as one of their sites. If you look at their results for 2014, during the 20 weeks we tested, every single result they have is less than 30. I'm talking about enterococcus, that's what we tested. Every single result is less than 30. That means swimmable across the board. Green, green, green all the way across the board.

If you look at our results from Stuyvesant, which is at 23rd Street, roughly, but we test near the shore, it's a totally different picture. It's about 55 or 60 percent green; but the other 35 or 40 percent is not green, does not meet swimmable standards.

So if you are going to use the results you have to be able to see them and ours side by side, and the public needs to understand those things.

So I think our model is good, I think the DEC's model is good, there are lots of good models for presenting, but the notification has to be better.

The third and final point. Enterococcus is the standard, what the City uses when they say you can



1	DEC Public Hearing
2	go swimming at Coney Island or Staten Island, so it's
3	understandable for the public. At this point it's what
4	we use, what every citizen test group uses. And it
5	should be the standard. I know you have said in your
6	explanation that you envision this as a multi-part
7	thing, you want to start with the coliform standards and
8	switch over to enterococcus in the future.
9	But I think everything changes when you say
10	the harbor is swimmable, we're designating the water
11	waters as swimmable. I think you should be ready to
12	explain to people what that means. And if you don't
13	have the enterococcus standards in place, if you're
14	still using this other system, it's going to require the
15	public to translate from a language they don't
16	understand to another language they don't understand.
17	It's going to complicate things.
18	So, you're charging the game, it's a big,
19	big step, but be ready. Be ready on the PR front and on
20	the communications front, in those three areas.
21	Thank you.
22	MS. McBRIDE: We will go off the record for
23	a few minutes and give people time to come in, in case
24	they're delayed down at security.



(Recess taken.)

1	DEC Public	c Hearing

2.3

MS. McBRIDE: For those who joined us, I understand there was a delay at security, so we did go off the record for a few minutes to allow people to get upstairs. We had one speaker so far.

What we're going to do now is call the other speakers who have signed up, and when I call your name I'd ask you to please come forward here and give your comments here at the podium.

Our next speaker is Marlene Donnelly.

MS. DONNELLY: I'm here to make statements for two organizations. I'm a member of the Gowanus Community Advisory Group under the Superfund Cleanup, and last month we passed a resolution specifically to make this entry into this statement.

The Cag is a 50 member organization compromised of organization members at large. It was formed in 2011 as part of the EPA Superfund action for the Gowanus Canal.

The first resolution was passed unanimously by this organization in 2012, was directed to the New York City DEP and copied to the New York State DEC and reads as follows:

"The Gowanus Canal Superfund Advisory Group hereby resolves that the water of the Gowanus Canal be



reclassified from the current industrial standard designated Class SD. Class SD only mandates a minimal level of dissolved oxygen be maintained in the water, but placed no limits to the level of pathogens in the waterway.

"The request is that the Gowanus Canal be reclassified to protect -- given a water classification that protects this current recreation use, which includes contact recreation for families with children, and a reclassification that imposes limits on pathogenic levels of coliform and bacteria as necessary to insure that children and others are not exposed to unacceptable risks, including dangerous disease, due to the simple act of coming in contact with water while recreating on the Canal."

That was the resolution.

"We support any change New York City makes in terms of Class 1 and Class SD waterways that places new institutional control on the level of pathogens allowed in Class 1 and Class SD waters.

"However, the rulemaking does not affect the use designation for water classification. The above resolution to protect Gowanus recreation use includes contact recreation for families and children.



"The proposed rulemaking should not be a substitute for reclassification of water based on actual public use of the public waters. The Canal community still looks forward to a water reclassification based on actual use."

Passed unanimously February 24. That's submitted.

I'm also making a statement for the organization I represent called Friends and Relatives of the Greater Gowanus. We are concerned, we support the changes that there should be pathogen levels of control for all waterways in the City. But we are concerned that the way this is being proposed and the way water is being tested and assessed is not going to be truly protective of pathogens and people's use of the water.

We are concerned that the way the rules have been written that they're not going to actually mandate a whole lot of change in Class SD waters because of the way the testing is taking place in the so-called center of the waterways.

We ask that in moving forward here that we look for a better way to insure the way people actually come in contact with the water closer to the shore, that we become protective of people coming in contact with



the water in situations where it's not expected to be, due to climate change and storm surges and other issues.

I wanted to relay a story that, during
Hurricane Sandy, after that issue, a community group
serving Thanksgiving dinners to people in Sheepshead
Bay, where we encountered one woman who had just been
out of the hospital prior to Hurricane Sandy. She found
herself the day of Sandy wandering in four feet of water
in our streets, the City of New York, with stitches,
having had open surgery days earlier.

And there are people being exposed to pathogens in a lot more intense ways besides choosing to recreate because of the climate change and the control of pathogens in unsafe conditions.

And particularly, the concerns of bacteria in the waterway that need to be controlled to CSA -- because New York City is, according to the Centers for Disease Control, has the highest rate of bacteria resistant infections in the entire country. It's becoming a greater concern that bacteria are being released into our communities more and putting people more at risk.

Our hospitals have the highest rates of antibiotic resistant bacteria, they use the sewer



2.3

1	DEC Public Hearing
2	systems, and these elements would be released.
3	So we do want levels of control of
4	pathogens, so we're really going to address climate
5	change more. Thank you.
6	MS. McBRIDE: Diane Buxbaum?
7	MS. BUXBAUM: I'm a member of the same two
8	groups that the previous speak is a member of. I'm on
9	the Cag of the Gowanus Canal, and I am also a member of
10	I don't want to repeat word for word what Marlene
11	Donnelly just said, but I strongly support everything
12	that she said.
13	So if it means a statement that is exactly
14	as another statement, then you have two of them, and I
15	will submit that in writing.
16	What I am concerned about very often is the
17	ineffective and non-existent addressing of climate
18	change, and how we are going to clean up our waters.
19	It's the same thing that Marlene said. It's absolutely
20	accurate.
21	When I look at the shoreline rezoning law, I
22	think it was 2007 or 2011, I called people at the DEC
23	and the people doing the rezoning and said, "Are you
24	addressing climate change?" They said yes. There was

one tiny paragraph at the end of the rezoning, which



1	DEC Public Hearing
2	actually didn't address anything about sea level rise
3	and storm changes.
4	I spoke to a person at DEP at a meeting near
5	Brooklyn Technical College in Brooklyn Heights, a DEP
6	presentation on best management practices. And I said
7	"Well, are you going to look at climate change?" At
8	that point well, that's too complicated. That struck
9	me as being ineffective, looking at what we need to do
10	to protect waters.
11	We have people in my neighborhood who go out
12	rowing, they go out kayaking in the Gowanus Canal, and
13	they take young people. We need to protect them from
14	the pathogens in the water. And I think the way it's
15	being proposed now, the protection will not exist. I
16	hope we get a better set of proposals.
17	Thank you.
18	MS. McBRIDE: Thank you.
19	Akila Simon?
20	MS. SIMON: Good afternoon, everybody. I
21	too agree with the previous speaker. I am the head
22	coach of the Empire Dragon Boat Team, and we do practice
23	our paddling throughout the duration of April through
24	October on Flushing Bay. And it is very disconcerting

for us because there's a lot of fecal matter in the



water during high and low tide. Our cancer survivors, health is a major concern for a lot of us. I myself, as well other members on our team, have skin infections because of the contact with the water, because the quality is so poor.

So it is really a major concern for us to do our part to really force the government, DEC, DEP, EPA, to do something about cleaning up the waterways. Over the course of the summer we have over 2,000 padders go out into the water.

We really would like the designation changed to primary contact, merely because we are getting splashed, we're getting flipped, the boats. People do actually have contact with the water. It is very important that we do something.

We as a boating community have come together recently and formed something called Guardians of the Bay, where all of the dragon boat community have come together. We're talking about oyster testing, we're testing the waters during the summertime. We're really trying to think of ways that we can do our part, so we really would like to have the designation changed.

I think it is very important and it is our home, Flushing Bay is our home. For 2,000 recreational



1	DEC Public Hearing
2	paddlers to move somewhere else, that's something that
3	is very troubling, especially when there's really
4	nowhere else for us to go to paddle. We compete.
5	Paddling is one of the fastest growing water
6	sports here, and dragon boat paddling in the United
7	States, and we don't have another place to call home.
8	So it's very important that we do our part and you all
9	do your part to really change the designation for
10	Flushing Bay.
11	Thank you.
12	MS. McBRIDE: Thank you.
13	Larry Levine?
14	MR. LEVINE: Larry Levine, I'm a senior
15	attorney with Natural Resources Defense Counsel in New
16	York. We are a non-profit environmental organization
17	working on clean water issues and a whole wide range of
18	other environmental issues. We're working on trying to
19	get the City to clean up its sewer overflows since at
20	least the 80s, probably the 70s. We have files in our
21	office going back, legal records to all those decades.
22	And so this is a really important moment
23	that we want the CDC to follow through on both the
24	proposal, also ongoing and beyond that, and I echo all

the concerns raised previously by the commenters I



heard, the last few I was here for.

The biggest concern that we have about the way that the proposal was framed is about whether it's actually changing the use designation. And that's a critical point of law, because it has changed the use designation, and that will in turn trigger application of federal beach act standards. Even though it's called the beach act, they apply not only to beaches, they apply to all coastal recreational waters, all of these fall in that definition. But it applies only to coastal recreational waters and that is defined for primary contact use.

So it's really important that the DEC make very clear in the language of the regulation that they are in fact changing designated use, and not merely saying there something else to use for the water quality issues.

Saying it ought to be swimmable is one thing, saying that the bacteria level amounts to swimmability is another thing. So the proposal right now is based on old standards from bacteria use, indicator organism, fecal bacteria, that is outdated science.

We understand the DEC is in the process for



1	DEC Public Hearing
2	all the other waterways that are already designated for
3	primary contact of updating that standard based on
4	enteroacoccus bacteria, the best scientific standard.
5	But the EPA has a new updated version of that standard
6	in the last couple of years, and so it's critical that
7	the DEC apply that enterococcus standard to protect
8	public health in all these water bodies.
9	In terms of primary contact, there's one
10	more thing I'd like to say. In many other
11	jurisdictions, many other states, EPA regions in fact,
12	team paddling, even power boating, should be primary
13	contact recreation precisely for the reason the prior
14	speaker mentioned, because you do in fact get wet.
15	Even if you don't intend to submerge
16	yourself, you do not in fact wholly submerge yourself,
17	you get wet enough that it amounts to primary contact.
18	I'm a kayaker myself, I'm sure many in the room are, you
19	know some of the speakers already.
20	So it's a legal matter as well, the DEC in
21	regulation should be treating kayaking, boat paddling as
22	primary contact activity. And as a result, it exists

for use legally, people are in fact doing it, and the

DEC needs to protect that existing use under the Clean



Water Act and comply with the law.

23

24

One last point, which I think someone else alluded to, is how compliance is measured with these standards. Typically sampling now is mid channel. People come in contact with the water much more frequently and extensively near shore. So the regulations ought to be clear as to how compliance is measured, and that should be measured based on near shore water quality, not after sewage discharges have been diluted further into the center of a stream, especially a flowing water body is going to be diluted rapidly towards the center, the Hudson River for example.

There's lots of kayaking going on, near shore is a lot more polluted than the center of the channel. It's an integral part of water quality, the cleanup to support primary contact.

And so I will close with that, and say that I support moving ahead with what the DEC has proposed, but further, submit that the DEC needs to go further at this time, not merely additional issues for a future date, to have a rule that fully complies with what the Clean Water Act requires to fully protect public health.

The rule language should be revised to further what appears to be DEC's intent, to in fact



1	DEC Public Hearing
2	achieve that and protect public health and comply with
3	the law.
4	MS. McBRIDE: Thank you.
5	Robert Bake?
6	MR. BAKE: Hi, I'm Robert Bake, I'm
7	president of the Brooklyn Bird Club. Of course I
8	support the clean water around New York City. Primary
9	use should be safe for people, no brainer. That it
10	isn't already is unconscionable. I understand it's a
11	difficult process.
12	I'd like to, as a member of the birding
13	community and the environmental community, I'd like to
14	say that it's not just for people, it's also for the
15	wildlife that uses the waters of New York City.
16	I'm sure they are susceptible to the
17	infections that people are, and there's also the whole
18	ecosystem, especially I'm very familiar with Jamaica
19	Bay, the fecal discharge from the sewage treatment
20	plants raised the levels of bacteria and nutrients in
21	the Bay and contributed to the devastation of our
22	environment, the lack of resilience in the Bay.
23	The grasslands have been reduced 30 percent
24	in the last 15 or 20 years. And they have been
25	projected to lose all the grassland in Jamaica Bay, if



2.3

the trend isn't reversed. It's being reversed, but especially in these times of climate change, we need the resilience of the bays, the waterways of New York City, returning the water to a natural state should be a primary purpose of EPA work, all our work.

That's it. Thank you.

MS. McBRIDE: Thank you.

Shino Tanikama?

MS TANIKAMA: Good afternoon. My name is Shino Tanikama. I'm with the New York City Tunnel and Water Conservation District. Today I'm speaking as the alternate New York co-chair of the New York-New Jersey Harbor Citizen Advisory Committee. We submitted our letter a while ago. I will speak to what we already submitted, but I'd like the opportunity to share with the rest of the members of the public what we submitted.

We would like more clearly amended designated use. As Larry mentioned, I think the way to interpret the current proposal is changing the water quality standards without really explicitly changing the designated use. We would like to have the language changed to say that Class I saline surface water, the best usage of Class I waters are primary contact recreation and fishing.



We'd like to have the words "primary contact recreation" explicitly inserted into the section of the water quality standards that talks about the designated use and the best usage.

Likewise, for Class SD, we'd like the best usage of Class SD waters to be primary contact recreation and fishing. So we would like for inclusion of the word "primary in" that language.

We would also like to protect existing uses, and that might entail the New York DEC conducting a full assessment of existing uses. Beyond setting the goal for fishable and swimmable, which we are fully supportive of, I think we need to really look at how the water bodies are currently being used, and insure that we do everything to meet the goal, because the uses are already there as existing uses.

All I'm saying is, really, we don't want anybody to say we can't meet these water quality goals. We want people to really look at how the water bodies are used today without meeting these goals, so that we can meet the water quality goals.

We'd also like to switch to enterococcus as part of this amendment process. I understand the DEC will be switching to using enterococcus as an indicator



next year or the year after, but we think it would be prudent for us to actually do this now to avoid confusion in the future.

We also want water quality criteria to be relevant to the people who use the waters. What I mean is, the current standard is based on the geometric means over 30 days. However, people are using the water today or yesterday, on that particular day, to the users of the water bodies, the geometric mean over 30 days is irrelevant. You're exposed to a high concentration of bacteria on a given day, it doesn't really matter if the average is lower than the standard.

We would like something that is more relevant and more protective of the users of the body. Along the same line, I know as an environmental educator, I take small children to sample the water once a month throughout the year. Ironically, the only month that I don't sample is when school is not in session, July and August.

But the rest of the year I do go out to the river, fill up a bucket of water, and we do come into contact with that water. So in some places it may be prudent to look at year round water quality standards and not just seasonal uses.



1	DEC Publi	c Hearing
---	-----------	-----------

Again, I think if the DEC looks at the full existing uses and analyzes how the water bodies are being used, you would know which water bodies warrant year round protection.

Finally, we would like to know how this change will be integrated into the ongoing water quality improvement program, particularly the long term control planning process to the CSO and NS in the City.

We are presuming these standards will be incorporated into the planning process, but we would like clarity on how the City will amend the LTC plan submitted already, or if they are going to be resubmitting those plans. We need guidelines and clarification on that point.

Again, the City Advisory Committee of New York-New Jersey supports this initiative, but we'd like to see strengthening of the proposal.

Thank you.

MS. McBRIDE: Thank you.

Ate Atema.

MR. ATEMA: My name is Ate Atema, I'll be brief. I'm speaking as a citizen, as a lover of this city, as a lover of cities in general, as an architect and somebody who's been working for the last three years



1	DEC Public Hearing
2	in developing a strategy for preventing storm water from
3	combining with raw sewage to creat the CSOs that are
4	driving the problems we're speaking about today.
5	The really big picture for me is, this is an
6	opportunity for New York City to establish itself as a
7	leader in green infrastructure and demonstrating that
8	large cities can be responsible ecological citizens of
9	the planet. We all, I think, understand the benefits of
10	density, and I think any opportunity New York City has
11	to take leadership in that area should be embraced.
12	So that's, I think, something like the
13	primary contact recreation standards is the right goal,
14	it's a very simple one, it's clear, it's a goal I think
15	everybody agrees we want to get to. We want to be able
16	to swim in our waters, we want to able to fish in our
17	waters and to be able to know that sewage is not
18	discharged into our waters.
19	And so, I think there's an elegance and
20	simplicity to the goal, and we should get there as soon
21	as possible.
22	Thank you.
23	MS. McBRIDE: Thank you very much.
24	Dierdre Cossman?
25	MS. COSSMAN: Hi, my name is Dierdre Cossman



1	DEC Public Hearing
2	and I'm member of the Empire Dragon Boat Racing Team.
3	And we practice on Flushing Bay, and obviously a lot of
4	the same points have been made already by Coach Akila
5	Simon.
6	And basically it's about not only the water
7	quality getting changed for the designation of these
8	waters to primary contact, because on a regular basis,
9	which is a few times a week, we're out there paddling
10	with hundreds of others every week, and there have been
11	incidents of infection and rash and things, just from
12	paddling and getting splashed by the water.
13	So it's important that the designation is
14	changed to be amended for not just water quality
15	criteria, but the actual language for primary contact
16	recreation.
17	Thank you.
18	MS. McBRIDE: Thank you.
19	Ira Gershenbaum.
20	MR. GERSHENBAUM: My name is Ira
21	Gershenbaum, I'm here representing myself and River
22	Keeper. Two points. One point is, I missed the first
23	part of this hearing because of the security procedures
24	outside. Many people are also coming in right now

because of that and missed much. I arrived early, not



2 | early enough, an hour early.

The second thing is, I'm a swimmer, I like to swim in the Hudson River. The only thing that prevents me from currently swimming in the Hudson River is the fact I don't have access to it. Many who want to swim in the Hudson River are afraid of it. And this initiative should help that. Hopefully, at some point it will also help the fact that the bad news comes out whenever a swim, an organized swim, people come out with mustaches from the Hudson River because of sewage and things in the water.

Thank you.

MS. McBRIDE: Thank you.

Peter Molinski?

MR. MOLINSKI: I'm speaking from the New York Harbor Foundation, the oyster project. We do a lot of work, primary contact work, in the water restoring oysters to the harbor. So we have high school students diving in the water from the end of April through the end of November. So I echo the point of widening the season for primary contact uses, that we are in the water for July and August.

And just -- someone else mentioned -- more clarification -- I might have missed it, I was late,



1	DEC Public Hearing
2	based on the line what exactly the new designation
3	means, whether there's any action on DEC's part to
4	change the quality of the water or simply a designation
5	to change the I'm unclear about it.
6	MS. McBRIDE: Thank you very much.
7	Willis Elkins?
8	MR. ELKINS: I'm Willis Elkins, from the
9	Newtown Creek Alliance a couple of comments. One of
10	the SD water bodies, which means one of most polluted
11	the in the City. So generally, we're supportive of this
12	effort.
13	It's difficult for us to make progress on
14	the waterway, especially with existing use saying there
15	already are people recreating on the water. The agency
16	says it doesn't matter, conditions don't reflect that.
17	So, a couple concerns we have, though,
18	because some of the places in Newtown Creek have such
19	poor water quality, we're interested in knowing what the
20	mechanism is to enforce the compliance? We want to make
21	sure the responsible agencies are responsible for
22	cleaning that up and don't have a way of getting out of
23	this because conditions are so bad.
24	Does that make sense?
25	The other concern we have is about what it



2.3

means for existing plans in place, including consent orders. The Newtown Creek Alliance along with River Keeper have been fighting a current consent order about aeration at Newtown Creek for reasons actually over public health.

And one of the issues we had is saying it's not a public health concern, people are not supposed to be recreating there anyway. We want to know what that would mean for that, because right now the aeration only addresses the oxygen level. The new level, the bacteria level, does that mean the aeration program will have to be entirely redesigned?

And going forward with future consent orders, to promote presumably new standards, we want to make sure there's an open process with the community. The community was left out of a lot of these processes, and I understand there's lots of concern over that, so I want to make sure there's enforcement or a mechanism to insure compliance of efforts.

Thank you.

MS. McBRIDE: Thank you very much.

That was our last speaker card. I will repeat for those of you who were not here at the beginning. The Department is receiving comments



1	DEC Public Hearing
2	regarding this proposed rulemaking until 5:00 p.m. on
3	March 16.
4	If you'd like to submit comments, you may
5	mail them into Robert Simson at the New York State DEC.
6	The mailing address is 625 Broadway, Albany, New York
7	12233-3500. I will have Mr. Stoner here give the e-mail
8	address.
9	Sorry, we do have a couple more speakers.
10	Also, information for sending in comments is at the
11	table out in the hallway.
12	Noelle Thurlow is our next speaker.
13	MS. THURLOW: Hello, I'm Noelle Thurlow, I
14	run a small kayaking paddleboard company in Hoboken, the
15	other state across the river, the Hudson River. I bring
16	children, I do lessons for them and a small youth
17	program as well.
18	If you've ever done any paddleboarding, then
19	you know we're all in the water. We fall off all the
20	time, the children jump off, they love it. It's a
21	wonderful opportunity. I make sure to include
22	environmental education with every program that I do,
23	so they're learning to understand the Hudson River
24	estuary

So I would love it for the water to be as



1	DEC Public Hearing
2	clean as possible for the children and all people that
3	use the water.
4	Thank you.
5	MS. McBRIDE: Thank you.
6	Karen Craddock?
7	MS. CRADDOCK: Hi. My name is Karen
8	Craddock. I'm a breast cancer survivor and I grew up on
9	Long Island on the North Shore near the Throgs Neck
10	Bridge.
11	I've always been afraid of the water quality
12	in the area on the North Shore of Long Island, going to
13	Queens where we did hang out.
14	I am a member of the Empire Cancer Survivor
15	Dragon Boat Team. I'm also a member of the Guardians of
16	Flushing Bay. This is a group of Dragon boaters who are
17	in the Flushing Bay who are concerned about the water
18	quality.
19	Our mission is to preserve and protect the
20	water quality of the Bay in order to save human contact
21	with the human body. I'm involved in the team because
22	we're very concerned about the environment and our
23	health risks due to the gradual destruction and abuse of
24	the ecosystem that New York has grown industrially over



the decades.

Why I'm concerned about the Bay is that it's the only protected bay in our area fit for human powered water sports and other activities that have incidental direct contact with the water.

Also, I see people fishing off the dock. I don't know if it's for personal consumption or illegal commercial comsumption, but I fear that toxic catch is ending up on a plate somewhere.

Personally, I have seen water pouring out of the CSO. It smells horrible, you can see sometimes a cloudy discharge in the water. I'm afraid that I will get sick or get an infection as many teammates have in the past. I'm trying to cover myself head to toe with as many layers as possible.

Even though our hands are in the water, we do get splashed all the time. We accidentally swallow it and it's not a pleasant experience.

What we want is the water quality be raised to a level where it's swimmable, at least when we have primary contact with the water to not feel as though we're in danger of harming yourself.

Paddlers have direct contact with the water.

I think it's assumed that we're above it so therefore
we're not near it, but we are in it. Please make



2.3

1 DEC Public Hearing 2 changes for us to be safe. 3 Thank you. 4 MS. McBRIDE: Thank you. 5 Maria Melian? 6 MS. MELIAN: I'm Maria Melian, I'm also part 7 of the Empire Dragon Boat Team. We're one of the many, 8 many dragon boat teams that use Flushing Bay as our only 9 lake, actually. It's a huge sport, started in Asia, and 10 it's very strong in Canada and the West Coast. 11 The water basically is filthy. Part of it 12 is industrial waste, but most of it is from human sewage 13 from the CSOs. And it's due to faulty engineering. 14 This can be fixed. It takes time, it takes money and it 15 takes effort, but it can be done. 16 I lived here in the 70s, I saw the Hudson, I 17 smelled the Hudson. It is a different thing now. I saw 18 the tens, I see it now, it can be done. We just need 19 everybody and we need the government to do something 20 about it. 21 And the idea -- somebody, I can't remember, 22 EPA had the idea of putting disinfectant in the sewers? 2.3 In the water? We're cancer survivors on our team. 24 That's not what we need. We don't need more chemicals 25 in our water. We need engineering solutions, which can



1	
1	DEC Public Hearing
2	be done.
3	Thank you.
4	MS. McBRIDE: Edith Kantrowitz?
5	MS. KANTROWITZ: Hi, Edith Kantrowitz,
6	president of New York City Friends of Clearwater. We
7	are a chartered club, the Clearwater organization
8	originally started by Pete Seeger to clean up the Hudson
9	River. And so we are continuing to work on cleaning up
10	the Hudson River. Of course, we're very interested in
11	the other waterways in the New York City area and making
12	sure they're clean and swimmable and healthy for us to
13	put our bodies into if we're going to swim and recreate
14	in other ways.
15	So we would like basically to express our
16	support for the proposed rulemaking, but there are some
17	changes that we'd like to recommend. These were
18	directly suggested by the Water Infrastructure Matters
19	Coalition. You may have already heard them today
20	because I came up late because of the line.
21	I'd like to reiterate our support for those
22	principles that the designated used for Class SD waters
23	should explicitly include primary contact recreation.
24	We recognize that designated uses and water quality
25	criteria should both be included.



1	DEC Public Hearing
2	Number 2, the DEC should survey and assess
3	how people are using the water so we have a better
4	picture of recreation.
5	Number 3, measures should be taken to make
6	sure that these criteria are integrated into the City's
7	sewage overflow reduction plans.
8	Number 4, the sample should be based on a
9	single sample approach rather than an average sample.
10	The DEC should revise the draft regulations
11	to insure that the EPA beach act standards apply to
12	these waters, and also for measuring the water quality.
13	The sample should be taken from the near shore areas
14	where people swim and are paddling, rather than out in
15	the middle of the water where people are not as likely
16	to be.
17	Again, we want to endorse the suggestions of
18	the Water Infrastructure Matters Coalition to see
19	everything is done to make the water as clean and
20	healthy as possible.
21	Thank you.
22	MS. McBRIDE: Thank you.
23	Robert Coover?
24	MR. COOVER: I'd like to add my support of
25	the points mentioned by the previous speaker, endorse



1	DEC Public Hearing
2	storm water matters, the ongoing existing use as primary
3	contact or recreation of these waters and support
4	general continuing use of such.
5	MS. McBRIDE: Thank you.
6	Mark Ringenary?
7	MR. RINGENARY: Good afternoon. I'm from
8	the National Park Service Gateway Recreation is our
9	name. We'd like to see more recreaction the City
10	supporting it we have a number of people using
11	recreational activities.
12	We have a biologist in the park testing the
13	water. I can tell you Jamaica Bay is very bad. I don't
14	know the indicators the DEC looks at in the background
15	people can get a false sense of security by passing
16	measures.
17	I'd love to have better control. I want to
18	know there's monitoring to keep people safe who are
19	using the water for specific recreational activities. I
20	want to inform the public if there's a problem I want
21	to know what kind of enforcement you will use to
22	regulate or try to control this, engineering-wise? How
23	to educate the public on these issues?
24	Again, it's a question for me that I see

people using the water who don't know there is a problem



25

1	DEC Public Hearing
2	
3	One side comment before I'm done. I live on
4	Staten Island. After Sandy a lot of houses,
5	infrastructure, plus vegetation I see trees being cut
6	down all over the place. The tree program helps, but
7	the city parks, it wiped out the underbrush in certain
8	areas. Standing trees were impacted, high winds the
9	water system, its impact is a big issue in the City.
10	Thank you.
11	MS. McBRIDE: Thank you.
12	Robin Kriesberg?
13	MS. KREISBERG: Good afternoon. I'm Robin
14	Kriesberg, I'm the policy director of Bronx River
15	Alliance. We partnership to protect, improve and
16	restore the Bronx River, and I'm also on the steering
17	committee for the Storm Water Infrastructure Matters
18	Group, a citywide coalition of more than 60
19	organizations advocating for swimmable and fishable
20	waters in New York City.
21	We enthusiasticly support the proposed
22	amendments to set the water quality goal of all New York
23	City waters being swimmable, which would make the Bronx
24	River safe for primary contact.
25	Thanks to the tremendous efforts of



1 DEC Public Hearing

2.3

community leaders, concerned citizens and government officials, the Bronx River has seen a remarkable resurgence since the 1970s when it was an abandoned dumping ground.

Today over 5,000 recreational boaters enjoy the Bronx River every year. We've opened up seven boat launches along the year when none previously existed. Over 9,500 students have touched or been touched by the river. We work with over 2300 educators who have made the river an outdoor classroom for hundreds of students ever year.

It's a testament to the progress that we've made, it came in 2012 when the National Park Service designated the Bronx River as a national water trail. The designated uses of waters of the river should be changed to primary contact to support a variety of recreational and educational activities already taking place on the river.

Human powered boats exposes people to the same risks as swimming, so that's why primary contact is really essential.

The Bronx River long term control plan process began in February, with a scheduled completion date of June of this year, and the water quality changes



1	DEC	Public	Hearing

2.3

proposed by DEC should be incorporated into the planning process to insure that the final plan achieves water quality standards that protect the public and support existing uses of the river.

To insure the safety of those coming into contact with the river, as previously said, water quality criteria should be based on a single sample maximum testing approach rather than a 30 day means — five samples per month. People don't come into contact with average water. The river should be safe for contact whenever they do come in contact with it.

In addition, testing standards should be revised to adopt modern scientifically supported, enterococcus based standards. Samples should be taken from near shore areas where people actually recreate rather than the middle of the water body.

Building on the great progress that's been achieved so far on the Bronx River, we urge DEC to make important regulatory changes to protect the many citizens who depend on a clean and healthy river so they can safely enjoy all the recreational and educational opportunities it offers.

MS. McBRIDE: Thank you.

Randy Ng?



1 DEC Public Hearing

MR. NG: Good afternoon. Thank you, everybody for your time at this meeting. My name is Randy Ng, I am one of the members affiliated with Flushing Bay, the dragon boat community. We've bonded together to call ourselves the Guardians of Flushing Bay.

I'm one of the coaches of another team. As you can see, we have quite a few members here today of the dragon boat community, representatives of the passion of the sport, most importantly of Flushing Bay.

We talked about the sport dragon boating, and I will try not to repeat what was talked about. Dragon boating is the ultimate team support. It's 22 people in a boat, ten on the left, ten on the right, brought together to race or to paddle together as one whole team.

As you can see, the Empire State Dragon Boat Team is a bunch of cancer survivors. On my crew, I'm actually the coach of 140 high school students yearly for the last 16 years.

What we're trying to do at Flushing Bay is to improve the quality of the bay so it's safe for them to paddle, but really to put forward support for the next generation, to teach these kids and have a safe



1 DEC Public Hearing

place for them to go to paddle and to learn about leadership and adversity, working together using this sport called dragon racing.

Now, Flushing Bay is next to LaGuardia
Airport, we also have challenges there. There is a
sewage deposit, 1.1 million gallons of sewage is dumped
in, especially during a storm it's more problematic,
just because of the high levels of bacteria, e-coli.
Most of times what happens is, Flushing Bay is our only
home, where many people actually get sick and some have
severe cases that ended up in the emergency room.

The other two things that are in our community, we have two municipal sanitation areas that actually block the entrance, the sanitation building that's in our entrance; and the municipal waste facility in the back. At times you can tell that the municipal waste, the liquids come through the sewage and create the slick and smell and engage bacteria that are in our waters.

We also have two cement treatment plants, cement concrete plants, which one is owned by the Department of Transportation.

So what we're here today, to support and asking for help in education, we're asking for help in



1	DEC Public Hearing
2	learning what to do, how we can be part of this
3	community to improve the water system.
4	As you can see today, many of our team
5	members, our group, Guardians of Flushing Bay, have a
6	passion to be here to try to improve the water quality.
7	Again, for me as a coach, I'm also the coach
8	of Team USA. A lot of members train here on the
9	national level team. I ask for your help, not only for
10	us, not only for the breast cancer survivors, but for
11	future generations.
12	Thank you.
13	MS. McBRIDE: On behalf of the DEC I want to
14	thank you all for coming out this afternoon and giving
15	us your comments. Again, Comments will be received
16	until March 16. Thank you.
17	(Time noted: 1:06 p.m.)
18	(Matter concluded.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, Jeffrey Shapiro, a Shorthand Reporter and
5	Notary Public, within and for the State of New York, do
6	hereby certify that I reported the proceedings in the
7	within-entitled matter, on Monday, March 9, 2015, at the
8	offices of the ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, 290
9	Broadway, 27th Floor, New York, New York, and that this
10	is an accurate transcription of these proceedings.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
12	hand this 19th day of March, 2015.
13	
14	
15	44) Vigin
16	
17	JEFFREY SHAPIRO
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



HEARING March 09, 2015 PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 & 703 OF 6NYIQAR: 1...Advisory

	15:22			19:8,9
1	2012	5	9	20:25
	11:21		***************************************	21:23
1	40:14	E 000	0 500	37:11
	40:14	5,000	9,500	
3:14	2013	40:6	40:9	action
5:18,21,	7:10	50		11:18
25 6:5,8	0014	11:16	A	30:3
12:19,21	2014			activities
L.1	9:7	55		34:4
43 : 7	2015	9:15	abandoned	38:11,19
	4:11	5:00	40:4	40:18
.2233-3500		4:11 32:2	abbreviated	
32 : 7	22	1.11 02.2	5:8	activity
40	42:14		J. 0	20:22
.40	2300	6	absolutely	
42:20		-	15 : 19	actual
.5	40:10	•		13:3,6
22:24	23rd	6	abuse	28:15
	8:10 9:6,	3:10 5:7,	33:23	add
.6	14	8 6:4,6	accepted	37:24
4:10,11	Abs de	60	4:9	37.24
32:3	24	9:16	4.7	addition
42:21	13:7	39:18	access	41:13
44:16		39:10	7:16 29:6	- 3 3 4 4 4 3
		60,000		additional
.970s	3	7:11	accidentall	21:21
40:4		CO.F.	у	address
.983	3	625	34:17	4:12,13
5 : 14	37 : 5	32:6	accomplish	15:4 16:2
	•		6 : 3	32:6,8
:06	30	7		
44:17	8:7 9:9,		accurate	addresses
	10 22:23		7:23	31:11
	25:8,10	701	15:20	addressing
2	41:9	3:10 5:8	achieve	_
	35	6 : 4	22:2	15:17,24
2		702	44 · 4	administrat
37 : 2	9:16	703	achieved	ive
		3:10 6:6	5:14	3:4
2,000	4	70s	41:19	
17:10,25		18:20		adopt
20		35:16	achieves	41:14
9:7 22:24	4	JJ•1J	41:3	adopting
	37 : 8		act	6:6
2007	40	8	3:18	0.0
15:22	9:16			adversity
2011	9:10	00 -	5:10,14,	43:3
2011		80s	21 6:2	3 1 ·
11:18		18 : 20	12 : 15	Advisory



HEARING March 09, 2015 PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 & 703 (DEGN) & ORRating..behalf

6:20	43:6	19:11	Atema	19:22
11:13,24	73-11-		26:21,22	20:3 21:8
23:14	Akila	apply		25:7 30:2
26:16	16:19	19:9,10	attainable	37 : 8
	28:4	20:7	5:11	41:8,15
advocating	Albany	37:11	attorney	,
39:19	32 : 6	approach	18:15	basically
aeration		37:9 41:9		28:6
31:5,10,	Alliance		August	35:11
12	30:9 31:3	April	25:20	36:15
	39:15	16:23	29:23	basis
affect	allowed	29:20	average	28:8
12:22	12:21	architect	25:13	
affiliated		26:24	37:9	bathing
42:4	alluded		41:11	3:15
	21:3	area		bay
afraid	alternate	3:20,21	avoid	14:7
29:7	23:13	27:11	25:3	16:24
33:11		33:12	***************************************	17:19,25
34:12	amend	34:3	В	18:10
afternoon	3:10	36:11		22:19,21,
3:3 4:15,	26:12	areas	_	22,25
20 16:20	amended	10:20	back	28:3
23:10	23:18	37:13	18:21	33:16,17,
38 : 7	28:14	39:8	43:17	20 34:2,3
39:13		41:16	background	35:8
42:2	amendment	43:14	38:14	38:13
44:14	24:24			42:5,7,
	amendments	arrived	bacteria	11,22,23
afternoon's	39:22	28:25	12:12	43:5,10
3:6	4	Asia	14:16,19,	44:5
agencies	amounts	35:9	21,25	44.0
30:21	19:20		19:20,22,	bays
	20:17	assess	23 20:4	23:4
agencies'	Analytical	37 : 2	22:20	beach
8:20	4 : 21	assessed	25:12	19:8,9
agency		13:15	31:11	37:11
30:15	analyzes		43:9,19	3/:11
	26:3	assessment	bad	beaches
agree	antibiotic	24:12	29:9	19:9
16:21	14:25	Association	30:23	hogan
agrees		7:2	38:13	began
27:15	appears		20.13	40:24
	21:25	assumed	Bake	beginning
ahead	application	34:24	22:5,6	31:25
21:19	19:7	Ate	based	hahal 6
Airport		26:21,22	13:3,5	behalf 44:13
	applies	~ · · · · · · · · · ·	1 3 3 3 7	ДД• ∀



HEARING March 09, 2015 PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 & 703 OF 6NMGR Robenefits..city

benefits	bodies	Bulletin	case	charging
27:9	20:8	3:22	6:14	10:18
big	24:15,20	bunch	10:23	chartered
7:14,17	25:10	42:19	cases	36:7
10:18,19	26:3,4		43:12	
27:5 39:9	30:10	Buxbaum		chemicals
	36:13	15:6,7	catch	35:24
biggest	body		34:8	Chief
19:3	21:11	С	CDC	4:21
biologist	25 : 15		18:23	children
38:12	33:21	Cag	cement	12:10,13,
Bird	41:17	11:16	43:21,22	25 25:17
22:7	h a m al a al	15:9	45.21,22	32:16,20
22.1	bonded 42 : 5	10.9	center	33:2
birding	42:3	call	13:20	
22:12	brainer	7:8,18	21:10,12,	_
block	22:9	11:6,7	15	14:13
43:15	breast	18:7 42:6	Centers	cities
	33:8	called	14:18	26:24
board	44:10	8:5 13:10		27 : 8
8:3 9:11, 12		15 : 22	challenges 43:6	citizen
12	Bridge	17:18	43:0	10:4
boat	33:10	19:8 43:4	change	23:14
16:22	bring	Canada	3 : 13	26:23
17:19	32:15	35 : 10	12:18	
18:6	Broadway		13:19	citizens
20:21	32:6	Canal	14:3,14	6:20 8:4,
28:2		11:19,24,	15:5,18,	5 27:8
33:15	Bronx	25 12:7,	24 16:7	40:2
35:7 , 8	39:14,16,	16 13:4	18:9 23:3	41:21
40:7	23 40:3,	15:9	26:7	city
42:5,10,	7,15,23	16:12	30:4,5	3:5,20
15,18	41:19	cancer	changed	5:22 7:2
boaters	Brooklyn	17:2	17:12,23	9:25
7:10	16:5 22:7	33:8,14	19:6	11:22
33:16	brought	35 : 23	23:23	12:18
40:6	8:2 42:16	42:19	28:7,14	13:13
boating		44:10	40:17	14:10,18
7:12	Buchanan	card	changing	18:19
17:17	6:16,18	4:5,8	19:5,16	22:8,15
20:12	bucket	6:16	23:20,21	23:4,11
42:12,14	25:22	31:23	·	26:9,12,
			channel	16,24
boats	building 41:18	cards	8:12	27:6,10
17:14	41:18	4:5 6:13	21:4,16	30:11
40:20	43:13			36:6,11



HEARING March 09, 2015 PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 & 703r@Ex6NDYCRR:consumption

38:9	cleaning	Coast	5:12	comsumption
39:7,9,	17:9	35:10	communicati	34:8
20,23	30:22	coastal	ons	concentrati
City's	36:9	19:10,11	10:20	on
37:6	cleanup	17.10,11	10.20	25 : 11
37.0	11:13	Codes	communities	20.11
citywide	21:17	3:11 5:7	14:22	concern
39:18	21.1	coliform	community	14:21
clarificati	clear	10:7	7:12	17:3,7
on	19:15	12:12	11:13	19:3
26:15	21:7	12.12	13:4 14:5	30:25
29:25	27:14	coliforms	17:17,19	31:8,18
29.20	Clearwater	6 : 7	22:13	concerned
clarity	36:6,7	colleague	31:16,17	
26:12	30:0,7	6:22		13:11,13,
class	climate	0.22	40:2	17 15:16
3:14 5:5,	14:3,14	College	42:5,10	33:17,22
•	15:4,17,	16:5	43:14	34:2 40:2
18,21,25	24 16:7	combining	44:3	concerns
6:5,8	23:3	27 : 3	company	14:16
12:3,19,	-	21:3	32:14	18:25
21 13:19	close	comment		30:17
23:23,24	6:15	3:7 4:4,	compete	
24:6,7	21:18	7,14,17	18:4	concluded
36:22	closer	6:17 39:3	Compilation	44:18
classes	13:24	commenters	3:11	concrete
5:4,6,8,		18:25		43:22
15,16	cloudy	10:23	completion	
,	34:12	comments	40:24	conditions
classificat	club	3:9,25	compliance	14:15
ion	22:7 36:7	4:9,10,	21:3,7	30:16,23
12:8,23		13,18	30 : 20	conducting
classroom	co-chair	6:21,22,	31:20	24:11
40:11	6:19	23 7:3		
	23:13	11:9 30:9	complicate	Coney
clean	coach	31:25	10:17	10:2
3:18	16:22	32:4,10	complicated	confusion
5:10,13,	28:4	44:15	16:8	25:4
20 6:2	42:20			
15:18	44:7	commercial	complies	consent
18:17,19		34:8	21:22	31:2,4,14
20:24	coaches	committee	comply	Conservation
21:23	42:8	6:20	20:25	n
22:8 33:2	coalition	23:14	22:2	3:6 4:23
36:8,12	36:19	26:16		23:12
37:19	37:18	39:17	compromised	
41:21	39:18		11:17	consumption
	JJ.±0	commonly		34:7



	LEMAKING TO A			
contact	Cossman	34:11	19:1,14,	density
3:16	27:24,25	csos	25 20:1,	27:10
5:17,19	Counsel	27:3	7,20,24	DEP
6:6	18 : 15	35 : 13	21:1,19,	7:22 8:7
12:10,15,			20 22:1	11:22
25 13:24,	count	current	23:1	16:4,5
25 17:5,	7:13	8:11	24:1,11,	17:8
13,15	counted	12:2,9	24 25:1	
19:13	7:11	23:20	26:1,2	DEP'S
20:3,9,		25:7 31:4	27:1 28:1	8:25
13,17,22	country	cut	29:1 30:1	Department
21:5,17	14:20	39:5	31:1	3:5 4:10,
23:24	County	99.0	32:1,5	22 31:25
24:2,7	5 : 23		33:1 34:1	43:23
25:23		D	35:1 36:1	43.23
27:13	couple		37:1,2,10	depend
28:8,15	20:6	dancor	38:1,14	41:21
29:18,22	30:9,17	danger 34:22	39:1 40:1	deposit
33:20	32:9	34:22	41:1,2,19	-
34:5,21,	cover	dangerous	42:1 43:1	43:7
23 36:23		12:14	44:1,13	designated
38:3	34:14		44.1,13	5:4,17,19
30:3 39:24	Craddock	data	DEC'S	12:3
	33:6,7,8	8:2 9:2	9:22	19:16
40:17,21		date	21:25	20:2
41:7,10,	creat	21:22	30:3	23:19,22
12	27 : 3	40:25	dd	24:4
continuing	create		decades	36:22,24
36:9 38:4	43:18	day	18:21	40:15,16
		14:9	33:25	
contributed	Creek	25:9,12	Defense	designating
22:21	30:9,18	41:9	18:15	10:10
control	31:3,5	days		designation
12:20	crew	14:11	defined	8:23
13:12	42:19	25:8,10	5:6 19:12	12:23
14:14,19		20.0,10	definition	17:12,23
15:3 26:8	criteria	DEC	19:11	18:9
38:17,22	25 : 5	4:1,2 5:1		
40:23	28:15	6:1 7:1	delay	19:5,7
	36:25	8:1 9:1	11:3	28:7,13
controlled	37:6 41:8	10:1	delayed	30:2,4
14:17	critical	11:1,22	6 : 14	destruction
~	19:6 20:6	12:1 13:1	10:24	33:23
COOVEY	17.0 20.0	14:1		
37.23 24		15:1 , 22	demonstrati	devastation
37:23,24	CSA	10.1,22		
	CSA 14:17	16:1	ng	22:21
37:23,24	14:17	16:1	ng 27 : 7	
37:23,24 copied		·	-	developing 27:2



HEARING March 09, 2015 PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 70/1d&x708v@fo@Nevickenvironmental

development	dissolved		effort	38:21
7:16	12:4	E	30:12	engage
Diane	District		35:15	43:19
15:6	23:12	e-coli	efforts	
		43:9	8:20,21	engineering
Dierdre	diving		31:20	35:13,25
27:24,25	29:20	e-mail	39:25	engineering
difficult	Division	4:12 7:3		-wise
8:21	4:18,22	32:7	electronic	38:22
22:11		earlier	3:21	
30:13	dock	14:11	elegance	enjoy
143	34:6		27:19	40:6
diluted	Donnelly	early	,	41:22
21:10,11	11:10,11	28:25	elements	ensure
dinners	15:11	29:2	15:2	5:24
14:6	door	easiest	Elkins	ontoil
al a a b	door	7:4	30:7,8	entail 24:11
direct	6 : 24	Ti an ti		∠4:11
34:5,23	draft	East	embraced	enteroacoco
directed	37:10	8:8,10	27:11	us
11:21	dwawan	9:6	emergency	20:4
ali ma a talas	dragon 16:22	echo	43:12	enterococcu
directly 36:18	17:19	18:24	Emp i ma	
30:10	18:6 28:2	29:21	Empire	s
director		1	16:22	9:9,24
39:14	33:15,16	ecological	28:2	10:8,13
discharge	35:7,8	27:8	33:14	20:7
22:19	42:5,10,	ecosystem	35 : 7	24:23,25
34:12	12,14,18	22:18	42:18	41:15
34.12	43:4	33:24	encountered	enthusiasti
discharged	driving	Edith	14:7	cly
27:18	27:4		a m al	39:21
discharges	due	36:4,5	end	entire
21:9	12:14	educate	15:25	14:20
∠ ⊥ • <i>J</i>		38:23	29:20,21	14:ZU
disconcerti	14:3	education	ended	entrance
ng	33:23	32:22	43:12	43:15,16
16:24	35:13	43:25	ending	entry
discredit	dumped	43.43	34:9	11:15
7:22	43:7	educational	J4 • J	
	dumping	40:18	endorse	environment
disease	40:5	41:22	37:17 , 25	22:22
12:14	40:3	educator	enforce	33:22
14:19	duration	25:17	30:20	environment
disinfectan	16:23	20.11		al
t		educators	enforcement	3:5,22
		40:10	31:19	J.J.L.L



HEARING March 09, 2015 PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 & 703 100 Fee New YORK MARCH 1997 NO. 1897 NO. 18

4:23	8:23	38:15	fish	Foundation
18:16,18	expected	familiar	27:16	29:17
22:13	14:2	22:18	fishable	framed
25:16	14.2		24:13	19:4
32:22	experience	families	39:19	19.4
envision	34:18	12:10,25	39.19	frequently
10:6	explain	fastest	fishing	21:6
10.0	10:12	18:5	23:25	friendly
EPA			24:8 34:6	8:22
11:18	explanation	faulty	fit	
17:8	10:6	35:13	34:3	Friends
20:5,11	explanatory	fear	34.3	13:10
23:6	4:24	34:8	fixed	36:6
35:22	1.21	34.0	35:14	front
37:11	explicitly	February	flipped	10:19,20
	23:21	13:7	17:14	
essential	24:3	40:24	1/.14	full
40:22	36:23	fecal	flowing	24:11
stablish	exposed	6:7 16:25	21:11	26:2
27:6	12:13	19:23	Eluabina	fully
	14:12		Flushing 16:24	_
stuary		22:19		21:22,23
32:24	25:11	federal	17:25	24:13
xist	exposes	3:17	18:10	future
16 : 15	40:20	5:10,20	28:3	10:8
		6:2 19:8	33:16,17	21:21
existed	express		35 : 8	25:4
40:8	36 : 15	feel	42:5,6,	31:14
existing	extensively	34:21	11,22	44:11
20:24	21:6	feet	43:5,10	
24:10,12,		14:9	44:5	
17 26:3			follow	G
30:14	F	fighting	18:23	
31:2 38:2		31:4		gallons
41:5	facility	files	force	43:7
41.0	43:16	18:20	17 : 8	10.
exists			formed	game
20:22	fact	fill	11:18	7:8 10:18
expand	19:16	4:5,7	17:18	Gate
7:25	20:11,14,	25 : 22		8:11
1 • 4 0	16,23	filthy	forward	
expanding	21:25	35 : 11	6:17 11:8	Gateway
7:17	29:6,9		13:5,22	38:8
expect	fall	final	31:14	general
7:18	19:11	9:24 41:3	42:24	26:24
/:10	32:19	Finally	found	38:4
expectation	JZ • I J	26:6		JU • 4
5	false	20.0	14:8	generally



30:11	15:9	33:24	heard	home
generation	16:12	Guardians	19:2	17:25
42:25	gradual	17:18	36:19	18:7
42.25	33:23	33:15	hearing	43:11
enerations	33:23	42:6 44:5	_	hana
44:11	grassland	42:0 44:3		16:16
eometric	22:25	guidelines	3 5:1,2 6:1 7:1	10:10
25:7,10	grasslands	26:14		horrible
23.7,10	22:23		8:1 9:1	34:11
ershenbaum	22.23		10:1 11:1	hospital
28:19,20,	great	H	12:1 13:1	14:8
21	7:22 9:2		14:1 15:1	14.0
ive	41:18	hallway	16:1 17:1	hospitals
	greater	4:11	18:1 19:1	14:24
11:8 32:7	13:11	32:11	20:1 21:1	hour
11.0 32./	14:21	hands	22:1 23:1	29:2
iving	14.21	nands 34:16	24:1 25:1	23:2
44:14	green	34:16	26:1 27:1	houses
oal	9:11,16,	hang	28:1,23	39:4
3:17	17 27:7	33:13	29:1 30:1	Hudson
5:17 5:13,20,	~	harbor	31:1 32:1	21:12
	33:8		33:1 34:1	
25 24:12,	33:0	6:19 7:9,	35:1 36:1	29:4,5,7,
16 27:13,	ground	21,24	37:1 38:1	11 32:15,
14,20	40:5	10:10	39:1 40:1	23 35:16,
39:22	group	23:14	41:1 42:1	17 36:8,
oals	6:21,23,	29:17,19	43:1 44:1	10
24:19,21,	25 7:9	harming	Heights	huge
22	10:4	34:22	16:5	35:9
		h d	10.5	h
ood	11:13,24		Hell	human
3:3 4:20	14:5	16:21	8:11	33:20,21
9:21,22	33:16	34:14	helps	34:3
16:20	39:18	heads	39:6	35:12
23:10	44:5	9:3	59.0	40:20
38:7	group's	h = = 1 + 1-	high	hundreds
39:13	7:6	health	8:23 17:2	28:10
42:2		17:3 20:8	25:11	40:11
overnment	grouped	21:23	29:19	
17:8	5 : 4	22:2	39:8	Hurricane
35:19	groups	31:6,8	42:20	14:5,8
40:2	7:12 15:8	33:23	43:9	
		healthy	hi <i>w</i> hort	r
owanus	growing	36:12	highest	<u>. </u>
11:12,19,	18:5	37:20	14:19,24	
24,25	grown	41:21	Hoboken	idea
12:7,24	7:15	1 ± • 6 ±	32:14	35:21,22
13:11				



HEARING March 09, 2015 PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 & 703 OFn@MX:@Regal..language

illegal	inclusion	initiative	25:18	
34:7	24:8	26:17	irrelevant	K
impact	incorporate	29:8	25:11	
39 : 9	d	inserted		Kantrowitz
	26:11	24:3	Island	36:4,5
impacted	41:2		10:2	
39:8		institution	33:9,12	Karen
important	indicating	al	39:4	33:6,7
17:16,24	8:3	12:20	issue	kayaker
18:8,22	indicator	insure	14:5 39:9	20:18
19:14	19:23	12:12	•	
28:13	24:25	13:23	issues	kayaking
41:20		24:15	14:3	16:12
	indicators	31:20	18:17,18	20:21
importantly	38:14	37 : 11	19:18	21:14
42:11	individuals	41:3,6	21:21	32:14
imposes	7:11,13	·	31:7	Keeper
12:11	·	integral	38:23	28:22
	industrial	21:16		31:4
impossible	12:2	integrated	J	
8:19	35 : 12	26:7 37:6		kids
improve	industriall			42:25
39:15	У	intend	Jamaica	kind
42:23	33:24	20:15	22:18,25	38:21
44:3,6		intense	38:13	
	ineffective	14:13	Jersey	knowing
improvement	15:17		6:19	30:19
26:8	16:9	intent	23:13	KREISBERG
incidental	infection	21:25	26:17	39:13
34:4	28:11	interested		
	34:13	30:19	joined	Kriesberg
incidents		36:10	11:2	39:12,14
28:11	infections		judge	
include	14:20	interpret	3:4 4:19	L
8:16	17:4	23:20		
32:21	22:17	interpretab	July	
36:23	inform	le	25:20	lack
	38:20	8:24	29:23	22:22
included			jump	Laguardia
36:25	information	introduce	32 : 20	43:5
includes	32:10	4:17	02.20	
5:18	infrastruct	involved	June	lake
12:10,24	ure	33:21	40:25	35:9
•	27:7		jurisdictio	language
including	36:18	Ira	ns	10:15,16
12:14	37:18	28:19,20	20:11	19:15
31:2		Ironically	∠∪•11	21:24
	39:5,17	rronrearry		47.4



HEARING March 09, 2015 PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 & 703 OF March 09, 2015

23:22	legal	33:9,12	maintained	matter
24:9	18:21	40:23	12:4	16:25
28:15	20:20	1		20:20
_		lose	major	25 : 12
large	legally	22:25	17:3,7	30:16
11:17	20:23	lot	majority	44:18
27 : 8	legislative	7:9 8:6,	5:21	
Larry	3 : 7	15 13:19		matters
18:13,14		14:13	make	36:18
23:19	lessons	16:25	4:4,7,17	37 : 18
	32:16	17:3	6:17 7:5	38:2
late	letter	21:15	9:3	39:17
29:25	23:15	28:3	11:11,15	maximum
36:20	25.15		19:14	
Launch	level	29:17	30:13,20,	41:9
7:13 8:6	12:4,5,20	31:17	24 31:16,	Mcbride
/:13 8:6	16:2	39:4 44:8	19 32:21	3:3,4
launches	19:20	lots	34:25	6 : 11
40:8	31:11,12	9:22	37:5,19	10:22
	34:20	21:14	39:23	11:2 15:6
Law	44:9	31:18	41:19	16:18
3:4 15:21	11.5	31.10	41.19	18:12
19:6	levels	love	makes	
20:25	12:12	32:20,25	12:18	22:4 23:8
22:3	13:12	38:17		26:20
layers	15:3	1	making	27:23
34:15	22:20	lover	13:9	28:18
34:13	43:9	26:23,24	36:11	29:14
leader	<u>.</u>	low	management	30:6
27 : 7	Levine	17 : 2	16:6	31:22
1	18:13,14			33:5 35:4
Leaders	Likewise	lower	mandate	36:4
40:2	24:6	25:13	13:18	37 : 22
Leadership		LTC	mandates	38:5
27:11	limits	26:12	12:3	39:11
43:3	12:5,11			41:24
	liquids		March	44:13
learn	43:18	M	4:9,11	
43:2			32:3	means
learning	live	made	44:16	9:11
32:23	39:3	28:4	Maria	10:12
44:2	lived			15:13
1 1 • 4	35:16	40:10,14	35:5,6	25 : 7
Leave	20.TO	mail	Mark	30:3,10
4:15	located	32:5	38:6	31:2 41:9
left	5:22		20 - 7	managemad
	1	mailing	Marlene	measured
31:17 42:15	long 26:8	4:13 32:6	11:10	21:3,8
	/h • X		15:10 , 19	



HEARING March 09, 2015 PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 &In TUBE OF THE CONTROL OF THE CON

37 : 5	8:9 37:15	move	non-	offer
38:16	41:17	18:2	existent	6:20
measuring	million	moving	15 : 17	offers
7:21	43:7	13:22	non-profit	41:23
37:12	mine	21:19	18:16	office
mechanism	6:22	multi-part	North	18:21
30:20	minimal	10:6	33:9,12	official
31:19	12:3	municipal	noted	3:11
meet		43:14,16,	44:17	
3:17	minutes	17		officials
5:20,25	6:14		Notice	40:3
9:17	10:23	mustaches	3:19,22	ongoing
24:16,19,	11:4	29:11	notificatio	18:24
22	missed		n	26:7 38:2
	28:22,25	N	8:19 9:23	onon
meeting	29:25		notificiati	open 6:13
16:4	mission	national	on	6:13 14:11
24:21 42:3	33:19	38:8	8:21	31:16
42:3	33.19	40:14,15		31.10
Melian	model	44:9	November	opened
35:5,6	8:2 9:21,		29:21	40:7
member	22	natural	NS	opportuniti
9:2	models	18:15	26:9	es
11:12,16	9:22	23:5		41:23
15:7,8,9	•	Neck	number	
22:12	modern	33:9	7:14,15,	opportunity
28:2	41:14		17 37:2,	23:16
33:14,15	Molinski	neighborhoo	5,8 38:10	27:6,10
•	29:15,16	d	numbers	32:21
members	Molly	16:11	8:15	order
11:17	3:4	news	Numeral	4:4 31:4
17:4		29:9	5:9	33:20
23:17	moment	newspapers		orders
42:4,9	18:22	3:20	nutrients	31:3,15
44:5,8	money		22:20	•
mentioned	35 : 14	Newtown	NYCRR	organism
9:6 20:14		30:9,18	5:8 6:6	19:23
23:19	monitoring	31:3,5		organizatio
29:24	8:18,20,	Ng	NYCRRR	n
37:25	24 38:18	41:25	6 : 4	11:16,17,
mid	month	42:2,4		21 13:10
8:11,12	11:14		0	18:16
21:4	25 : 18	Noelle		36:7
∠⊥•4	41:10	32:12,13	0	
middle			October	
			16:24	



HEARING March 09, 2015 PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 & IndexOfregations...powered

organizatio	32:14	38:15	people's	plans
ns	paddleboard	passion	13:16	26:14
11:12	ing	42:11	percent	31:2 37:7
39:19	32 : 18	44:6	9:16	plants
areas i mad	32.10	44.0	22:23	22:20
organized 7:12	paddlers	past	22:23	
	18:2	34:14	perecent	43:21,22
29:10	34:23	nothowan	9:16	plate
originally		pathogen		34:9
36:8	paddling	13:12	person	
	16:23	pathogenic	16:4	pleasant
outdated	18:5,6	12:11	personal	34:18
19:23	20:12,21		34:7	podium
outdoor	28:9,12	pathogens		11:9
40:11	37:14	12:5,20	Personally	
	pages	13:16	34:10	point
overflow	8:25	14:13,15	Pete	7:7,20
37 : 7		15 : 4	36:8	8:17,18
overflows	paragraph	16:14		9:24 10:3
18:19	15:25	people	Peter	16:8 19:6
	park	7:9,18	29:15	21:2
owned	38:8,12	8:14	picture	26:15
43:22	40:14	10:12,23	9:15 27:5	28:22
oxygen	40.14	11:4	37:4	29:8,21
12:4	parks	13:23,25		nointa
31:11	39:7		place	points
21.11		14:6,12,	10:13	7:5,16
oyster	part	22 15:22,	13:20	8:2,11
17:20	5:8 6:4,6	23 16:11,	18:7 31:2	28:4,22
29:17	11:18	13 17:14	39:6	37 : 25
	17:8,22	20:23	40:19	policy
oysters	18:8,9	21:5	43:2	39:14
29:19	21:16	22:9,14,	1	
	24:24	17 24:20	places	polluted
P	28:23	25:6,8	12:19	21:15
	30:3	28:24	25:23	30:10
	35:6,11	29:10	30:18	poor
p.m.	44:2	30:15	plan	17:6
4:11 32:2	partnership	31:8 33:2	26:12	30:19
44:17	39:15	34:6	40:23	
padders	57.10	37:3,14,	41:3	poster
17:10	Parts	15 38:10,		8:3
	3:10	15,18,25	planet	pouring
paddle	naggod	40:20	27:9	34:10
18:4	passed	41:10,16	planning	24:10
42:16,24	11:14,20	42:15	-	power
43:2	13:7	43:11	26:9,11	20:12
naddlabaau-	passing	40:11	41:2	
paddleboard				powered



HEARING March 09, 2015 PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 & 703 OF 6ND602RPR..questions

34:3	5:17,19	31:12	protection	purpose
40:20	6:5 17:13	32:17,22	16:15	3:8,13,24
PR	19:12	39:6	26:5	5:24 23:6
10:19	20:3,9,	progress	protective	put
	12,17,22	30:13	13:16,25	7:11
practice	21:17	40:13	25:15	36:13
16:22	22:8	41:18		42:24
28:3	23:6,24		protects	
practices	24:2,7,9	project	12:9	putting
16:6	27:13	29:17	prudent	14:22
	28:8,15	projected	25:3,24	35:22
precisely	29:18,22	22:25	·	
20:13	34:21		public	•
present	36:23	promote	3:9 4:1,9	Q
7:3	38:2	31:15	5:1,2 6:1	
	39:24	proposal	7:1 8:1,	quality
presentatio	40:17,21	18:24	19,20	3:14 5:3,
n	·	19:4,21	9:1,3,20	11 6:4,8
16:6	principles	23:20	10:1,3,15	7:24 8:5
presenting	36:22	26:18	11:1 12:1	17:6
6:22,23	prior	20.10	13:1,4	19:17
9:23	14:8	proposals	14:1 15:1	21:9,16
9.20	20:13	16:16	16:1 17:1	23:21
preserve		proposed	18:1 19:1	24:4,19,
33:19	problem	3:9 4:25	20:1,8	22 25:5,
president	38:20,25	6:3 13:2,	21:1,23	24 26:7
22:7 36:6	problematic	14 16:15	22:1,2	28:7,14
22.7 30.0	43:8		23:1,17	30:4,19
presiding		21:19	24:1 25:1	33:11,18,
3:6	problems	32:2	26:1 27:1	20 34:19
presuming	27 : 4	36:16	28:1 29:1	36:24
26:10	procedures	39:21	30:1	37 : 12
20.10	28:23	41:2	31:1,6,8	39:22
preventing		protect	32:1 33:1	40:25
27:2	process	5:3,5 6:8	34:1 35:1	41:4,8
prevents	19:25	12:8,24	36:1 37:1	42:23
29:5	22:11	16:10,13	38:1,20,	44:6
49.J	24:24	20:7,24	23 39:1	44.0
previous	26:9,11	21:23	40:1	Queens
15:8	31:16	22:2		33:13
16:21	40:24	24:10	41:1,4	question
37:25	41:3	33:19	42:1 43:1	3:25
nnorri arral	processes	39:15	44:1	
previously	31:17	41:4,20	publication	38:24
18:25	O T • T /		3:22	questions
40:8 41:7	program	protected		4:2
primary	6:19 8:4	34:3	published	
3:15	26:8		3:19	



HEARING March 09, 2015 PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 & 703 OF 6Nd CR. Rece. returning

	4:10 6:12	24,25	regulations	5:10
R	44:15	20:13	3:11 5:7	21:23
		23:25	21:7	
	receiving	24:3,8	37 : 10	requiring
race	31:25	27 : 13	. .	6:4
42:16	recently	28:16	regulatory	resilience
acing	17 : 18	36:23	41:20	22:22
28:2 43:4		37 : 4	reiterate	23:4
	recess	38:3,8	36 : 21	
aise	10:25	30.3,0		resistant
4:3	reclassific	recreationa	Relatives	14:20,25
aised	ation	1	13:10	resolution
18:25	12:11	17:25	relay	11:14,20
22:20	13:3,5	19:10,12	14:4	12:17,24
34:19	·	38:11,19		•
J4.1J	reclassifie	40:6,18	released	resolves
tandy	d	41:22	14:22	11:25
41:25	12:2,8		15 : 2	Resources
42:4	recognize	redesigned	relevant	18:15
ange	36:24	31:13	25:6,15	
18:17	30.24	reduced	20.0,10	responsible
10:1/	recommend	22:23	remarkable	27:8
apidly	36 : 17		40:3	30:21
21:12	record	reduction	remember	rest
a a b	4:4 6:13,	37 : 7	35:21	23:17
28:11	4:4 6:13, 15 10:22	referred	33:21	25:21
28:11		5 : 13	repeat	23:21
ate	11:4		15:10	restore
14:19	records	reflect	31:24	39:16
	18:21	30:16	42:13	
ates		reflected	•	restoring
14:24	recreaction	8:23	represent	29:18
aw:	38:9		13:10	resubmittin
27:3	recreate	reflection	representat	g
	14:14	7:23	ives	26:14
reads	36 : 13	regions	42:10	
11:23	41:16	20:11		result
eady			representin	8:25 9:8,
10:11,19	recreating	Register	g	10 20:22
·	12:15	3:23	6:25	results
reason	30 : 15	regular	28:21	8:12,24
20:13	31:9	28:8	request	9:7,13,18
easons	recreation		12:7	, ,
31:5	3:16	regulate		resurgence
	5:12 , 17 ,	38:22	require	40:4
eceive	19 6:6	regulation	3:14	returning
3:8,25	7:24	19:15	10:14	23:5
eceived			requires	20.0
w w T W W	12:9,10,	20:21	redurres	



HEARING March 09, 2015 PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 & 703 OF 6Nd60xRReversed..sick

reversed	Robin	safety	SD	set
23:2	39:12,13	41:6	3:14 5:9,	16:16
revise	Roman	saline	18,21,25	39:22
37:10	5:9	5:6,15	6:5,8	setting
	3. 3	23:23	12:3,19,	24:12
revised	room		21 13:19	
21:24	4:6 20:18	sample	24:6,7	severe
41:14	43:12	25:17,19	30:10	43:12
rezoning	roughly	37:8,9,13	36:22	sewage
15:21,23,	9:14	41:8	sea	21:9
25		samples	16 : 2	22:19
Dingonomy	round 25:24	41:10,15		27:3,17
Ringenary 38:6,7	26:5	sampling	season	29:11
30:0,7	20:3	21:4	29:22	35:12
rise	rowing	Z1.4	seasonal	37 : 7
16:2	16:12	Sandy	25 : 25	43:7,18
risk	rule	14:5,8,9	section	sewer
14:23	5:24 6:3	39:4	4:21 24:3	
	21:22,24	sanitation		18:19
risks	·	43:14,15	security	10.17
12:14	rulemaking	•	10:24	sewers
33:23	3:10,13,	save	11:3	35:22
40:21	16 4:25	33:20	28:23	share
river	12:22	SB	38:15	23:16
8:8,10	13:2 32:2	5:9,16	Seeger	
21:12	36:16	aa	36 : 8	Sheepshead
25:22	rules	sc 5:9,16	•	14:6
28:21	3:11 5:7	3.9,10	send	Shino
29:4,5,7,	13:17	scheduled	4:13	23:9,11
11 31:3	run	40:24	sending	shore
32:15,23	8:4 32:14	school	32:10	9:15
36:9,10	0.4 32.14	25:19	senior	13:24
39:14,16,		29:19	18:14	21:6,9,15
24 40:3,	S	42:20	10.14	33:9,12
7,10,11,			sense	37:13
15,16,19,	SA	science	30:24	41:16
23 41:5,	5:8,16	19:24	38:15	
7,11,19,		scientific	Service	shoreline
21	safe	20:4	38:8	15:21
Rob	22:9 35:2	سسنك نطسم زيم	40:14	short
6:16,18	38:18	scientifica		4:24
·	39:24	11 y	serving	
Robert	41:11	41:14	3:21 14:6	show
22:5,6	42:23,25	Scott	session	9:5
32:5	safely	4:17,20	3:7,8,24	sick
37 : 23	41:22		4:2 25:19	34:13



HEARING March 09, 2015 PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 & 703 OF 6NMGRRside..support

43:11	solutions	standard	states	students
side	35:25	9:25 10:5	18:7	29:19
9:19 39:3	speak	12:2	20:11	40:9,11
9.19 39.3	15:8	20:3,4,5,	steering	42:20
signed	23:15	7 25:7,13	39:16	Cturrocant
11:7	23:13	standards	39:10	Stuyvesant 9:13
Simon	speaker		step	9:13
16:19,20	4:5,8	4:21 5:5	10:19	subject
28:5	6:13 , 16	6:7 9:17	stitches	4:25
20:3	11:5,10	10:7,13	14:10	
simple	16:21	19:8,22	14:10	submerge
12:14	20:14	21:4	Stoner	20:15,16
27:14	31:23	23:21	4:17,19,	submit
	32:12	24:4	20 6:11	4:14
simplicity	37 : 25	25:24	32 : 7	15:15
27:20		26:10		21:20
simply	speakers	27:13	storm	32:4
30:4	11 : 7	31:15	14:3 16:3	
	20:19	37:11	27:2 38:2	submitted
Simson	32:9	41:4,13,	39:17	13:8
32:5	speaking	15	43:8	23:14,16,
single	23:12		story	17 26:13
9:8,10		Standing	14:4	substitute
37:9 41:8	26:23	39:8	14.4	13:3
37.5 41.0	27:4	start	strategy	13:3
sites	29:16	10:7	27:2	Suffolk
8:6,8 9:6	specific		stream	5:23
situations	38:19	started	21:10	
14:2		35:9 36:8	21:10	suggested
14.2	specificall	state	Street	36:18
skin	У	3:12,23	8:10 9:6,	suggestions
17:4	11:14	4:22 5:4,	14	37 : 17
-11-	splashed	16 11:22		
slick	17:14	23:5	streets	suitable
43:19	28:12		14:10	3:15 6:5
small	34:17	32:5,15	strengtheni	summer
25:17	24.17	42:18	ng	17:10
32:14,16	spoke	statement	26:18	
	16:4	4:24		summertime
smell	an ant	11:15	stretch	17:21
43:19	sport 35 : 9	13:9	8:9	Superfund
smelled		15:13,14	strong	11:13,18,
35 : 17	42:11,12		35 : 10	24
	43:4	statements	JJ. 10	۷٦
smells	sports	11:11	strongly	support
34:11	18:6 34:4	Staten	15:11	4:21
so-called		10:2 39:4	a transale	12:18
13:20	staff	10.2 09.4	struck	13:11
10.40	4:2,15		16:8	



HEARING March 09, 2015 PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 & 703 Office NYSCIPROTED..totally

15:11	swallow		ten	28:11
21:17,19	34:17	T	42:15	29:12
22:8	swim		tens	43:13
36:16,21	27:16	table	35:18	Mile see a com
37:24			33:18	Throgs 33:9
38:3	29:4,7,10	4:6,12	term	33:9
39:21	36:13	32:11	26:8	Thurlow
40:17	37:14	tails	40:23	32:12,13
41:4	swimmabilit	9:3	terms	tide
42:14,24	У	takes	12:19	17:2
43:24	19:21	35:14,15	20:9	1/•2
	swimmable	33:14,13	20.9	time
supported	3:17	taking	test	6:12
41:14	5:17 5:13,20,	13:20	8:6,7,8,	10:23
supporting		40:18	10 9:14	21:21
38:10	25 7:8,	talked	10:4	32:20
	18,19 8:22	42:12,13	testament	34:17
supportive		42:12,13	40:13	35:14
24:14	9:11,17	talking	40:13	42:3
30:11	10:10,11	9:9 17:20	tested	44:17
supports	19:19	talks	9:8,10	.
26:17	24:13	24:4	13:15	times
•	34:20	24.4	testimony	23:3 28:9
supposed	36:12	Tanikama	6:21 7:6	43:10,17
31:8	39:19,23	23:9,10,	0:21 /:0	tiny
surface	swimmer	11	testing	15:25
3 : 15	29:3	teach	13:20	mi bl
23:23		42:25	17:20,21	Title
	swimming	42.23	38:12	3:10 5:7
surgery	3:16 5:18	team	41:9,13	today
14:11	10:2 29:5	16:22	tests	4:3 23:12
surges	40:21	17:4	8:5	24:21
14:3	switch	20:12	0:0	25:8 27:4
	10:8	28:2	Thanksgivin	36:19
survey	24:23	33:15,21	g	40:6 42:9
7:9,15,21		35:7,23	14:6	43:24
37 : 2	switching	42:8,14,	thing	44:4
survivor	24:25	17,19	7:22 10:7	
33:8,14	system	44:4,8,9	15:19	today's
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	10:14	teammates	19:20,21	4:25
survivors	39:9 44:3		· ·	toe
17:2		34:13	20:10	34:14
35:23	systems	teams	29:3,4	
42:19	15:2	35 : 8	35 : 17	total
44:10		m1	things	6 : 7
susceptible		Technical	9:20	totally
22 : 16		16 : 5	10:17	9 : 15



HEARING March 09, 2015 PROPOSED RULEMAKING TO AMEND PARTS 701 & 703 Olificely College Bened..website

touched		urge	18,24	7,11,17
40:9	U	41:19	8:5,14,22	44:3,6
tougher		USA	10:10	waterfront
8:15	ultimate	44:8	11:25	7:16
0:13	42:14	44.0	12:4,8,	7:10
toxic	42.14	usage	15,23	waters
34:8	unacceptabl	23:24	13:3,5,	3:15 5:3,
trail	e	24:5,7	14,16,24	6,11,15,
7:2 40:15	12:13	user	14:2,9	18,21,22,
	unanimously	8:22	16:14	25 6:5,8
train	11:20	0.22	17:2,5,	10:11
44:8	13:7	users	11,15	12:21
translate	13.7	7:24	18:5,17	13:4,19
10:15	unclear	25:9,15	19:17	15:18
10.13	30:5		20:8,25	16:10
Transportat	unconsciona	V	21:5,9,	17:21
ion	ble	v	11,16,23	19:10,12
43:23	22:10		22:8	22:15
treating	22.10	variety	23:5,12,	23:24
20:21	underbrush	40:17	20,23	24:7 25:6
	39 : 7	vegetation	24:4,15,	27:16,17,
treatment	understand	39:5	19,20,22	18 28:8
22:19	8:20 9:20		25:5,8,	36:22
43:21	10:16	version	10,17,22,	37:12
tree	11:3	20:5	23,24	38:3
39:6	19:25		26:3,4,7	39:20,23
	22:10	W	27 : 2	40:16
trees	24:24		28:6,12,	43:20
39:5,8	27:9		14 29:12,	waterway
tremendous	31:18	wandering	18,20,23	12:6
39:25	32:23	14:9	30:4,10,	14:17
trend		wanted	15,19	30:14
23:2	understanda	7:5 14:4	32:19,25	20:14
23:2	ble		33:3,11,	waterways
trigger	10:3	warrant 26:4	17,20	12:19
19:7	United	∠0:4	34:4,5,	13:13,21
troubling	18:6	waste	10,12,16,	17:9 20:2
18:3		35:12	19,21,23	23:4
	unsafe	43:16,18	35:11,23,	36:11
Tunnel	14:15	water	25 36:18,	ways
23:11	updated	3:18	24 37:3,	14:13
turn	20:5	4:18,22	12,15,18,	17:22
19:7	undatin-	5:3,10,	19 38:2,	36:14
	updating	12,13,21	13,19,25	
Typically	20:3	6:2,4	39:9,17,	website
21:4	upstairs	7:2,12,	22 40:15,	8:25
	11:5	1.41	25 41:3,	



week	18:17,18	39:20,22
28:9,10	26:25	York-new
weekly	43:3	6:19
8:7	writing	23:13
	15 : 15	26:17
weeks		
9:7	written	young
West	4:14 7:3	16:13
35:10	13:18	youth
wet		32:16
20:14,17	Y	
wholly		
20:16	year	
	7:10,14	
wide	25:2,18,	
18:17	21,24	
widening	26:5	
29:21	40:7,8,	
29.21	12,25	
wildlife		
22:15	yearly 42 : 20	
Willis	42.20	
30:7,8	years	
	20:6	
winds	22:24	
39:8	26:25	
wiped	42:21	
39:7	yesterday	
woman	25 : 9	
14:7	York	
wonderful	3:5,12,20	
32:21	4:22 5:4,	
	7,16,22	
word	7:2 11:22	
15:10	12:18	
24:9	14:10,18	
words	18:16	
24:2	22:8,15	
	23:4,11,	
work	13 24:11	
23:6	27:6,10	
29:18	29:17	
36:9	32:5,6	
40:10	33:24	
working	36:6,11	
MOTYTHA	30:0,11	

